



Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta, (9814924851)

R/o 8/237, Jagraon Road, MandiMullanpur,
District Ludhiana - 141101

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Secretary, Home,
Punjab Secretariat, Sector-1, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Public Information Officer,

O/o Senior Superintendent of Police (Rural),
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Secretary, Home,
Punjab Secretariat, Sector-1, Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No.3392 of 2019
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

Present: Appellant: Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta

Respondent: Sh. Sarabjit Singh (DSP, Security Wing, APIO), 9501300140

Sh. Manjeet Singh (Suptd.), 7973889610

Sh. Nirmal Kumar (ASI)

ORDER:

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 11.10.2021 vide which next date of hearing was fixed on 18.01.2022 which was further postponed to 19.01.2022 i.e. today.

Information Sought: Regarding action taken on representations and initiative for security to be provided to applicant, the victim of FIR no. 90, dated 01.06.2021 registered at PS Dakha and related information of complaints /representations and legal notices sent by the applicant and his wife Prem Lata Gupta through email by post and in person.

2. Both the parties are present and heard. After that the Commission directed the respondent authority file a modified affidavit (as discussed in the court) in this case within seven days from today.

3.Post Deliberation: The respondent authority present in this case affirmed the commission about the position that the information sought by the appellant has been supplied to their best extent and what has been provided is true/ correct as per their office record. A copy of the information/reply supplied to the appellant has also been received in the Commission.

Appeal Case No.3392 of 2019
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

The appellant is also present at this hearing has confirmed that he has received the information/reply but complained that police has not taken any action in the case cited in the RTI application. It is explained to the appellant that it is not within the jurisdiction of this Commission to issue any direction to the police with regard to any of his investigation/enquiry etc.

Accordingly, the Commission is of view that the RTI application in this instance had been adequately addressed. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith CLOSED.

However, for redressal of appellant's grievance, he is advised to approach a appropriate forum.

Chandigarh
Dated: 19.01.2022

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.



Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta, (9814924851)

R/o 8/237, Jagraon Road, Mandi Mullanpur,
District Ludhiana - 141101

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Director General of Police, Punjab,
Punjab Police Headquarters,
Sector-9, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Director General of Police, Punjab,
Punjab Police Headquarters,
Sector-9, Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No.3393 of 2019
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

Present: Appellant: Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta

Respondent: Sh. Nirlep Kumar (Sr. Asst.) along with Sh. Nirmal Kumar (ASI)

ORDER:

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 11.10.2021 vide which next date of hearing was fixed on 18.01.2022 which was further postponed to 19.01.2022 i.e. today.

Information Sought: Regarding action taken on representations and initiative for security to be provided to applicant, the victim of FIR no. 90, dated 01.06.2021 registered at PS Dakha and related information of complaints /representations and legal notices sent by the applicant and his wife Prem Lata Gupta through email by post and in person.

2. Both the parties are present and heard. After that the Commission directed the respondent authority file a modified affidavit (as discussed in the court) in this case within seven days from today.

3.Post Deliberation: The respondent authority present in this case affirmed the commission about the position that the information sought by the appellant has been supplied to their best extent and what has been provided is true/ correct as per their office record. A copy of the information/reply supplied to the appellant has also been received in the Commission. The appellant is also present at this hearing has confirmed that he has received the information/reply but complained that police has not taken any action in the case cited in the RTI application.

Appeal Case No.3393 of 2019
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

It is explained to the appellant that it is not within the jurisdiction of this Commission to issue any direction to the police with regard to any of his investigation/enquiry etc.

Accordingly, the Commission is of view that the RTI application in this instance had been adequately addressed. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith CLOSED.

However, for redressal of appellant's grievance, he is advised to approach a appropriate forum.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 19.01.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.

Sh. Lajpat Rai, (9814230616)

S/o Sh. Harbans Lal,
House No. B-3/287, Romana Street,
Jaito, Distt. Faridkot.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Nagar Panchayat,
Sri Chamkaur Sahib.

.....Appellant/Complainant

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Deputy Director,
Urban Local Bodies, Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No.311 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

Present: Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Sh. Avtar Chand (EO), 9646107505

ORDER:

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 11.10.2021 vide which next date of hearing was fixed on 18.01.2022 which was further postponed to 19.01.2022 i.e. today.

Information Sought:

- ① given copy of chargesheet done by Additional Chief Secretary L.G. Punjab Chandigarh on 07/2019, case as wrong appointment of wife of EO Ramnara Sirod of General Catagay shown in S.C. Catagay Post of Teacher in m.c. and copy of Answer. (old case of m.c. moinda)
 - ② give copies of Notice issued U/s 195, 195A, 172 from 11/2/2020 to upto date including maps fees collected give in pan drive.
 - ③ give list of employees retired on 31/3/2020, 30/9/2020 and other from 1/2/2020 fully information & their names & amount given fully or partly and information of fully amount.
 - ④ Receipt for dispatch Register General + R.S.I. Receipt for dispatch Register Gen. 11/2/2020 to 30/9/2020 including Movement Register, Tender Register 1/2/2020 to 30/9/2020.
 - ⑤ General Cash Books 1/2/2020, tubewell, m.s.b.m. (cast. 1/2/2020 to 30/9/2020) 22/1/2020
- Give information soon, Aethoun I will appeal to the higher Authority.

2. Respondent, Sh. Avtar Chand stated that information/reply sought by the appellant has already been supplied to the appellant and no any other information is pending in this case.
3. Appellant is again absent today and was also absent on 11.10.2021.

(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

4. Accordingly, the Commission observes that he is satisfied with the information/response filed by the respondent-Public Information Officer so no further action is required to be taken in this case. Hence, the case is **disposed of and closed**. However, the liberty is granted to the appellant to approach the Commission within 10 days from the issue of this order in case of any further submissions.

Chandigarh
Dated: 19.01.2022

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.



Sh. Veeru Singh, (9914926933)

S/o Sh. Dolla Singh,
Village Ladhuka,
Tehsil & Distt. Fazilka.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Civil Surgeon,
Fazilka.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Civil Surgeon,
Fazilka.

Appeal Case No.370 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

Present: Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Dr. Neelu Chug, 9780442160

ORDER:

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 18.10.2021 and 14.07.2021 vide which the appellant was asked to point out deficiencies if any in the information so supplied by the appellant before the next date of hearing, but appellant failed to do so for the second time.

Information Sought :

5) ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦਾ ਵੇਰਵਾ: ਜ਼ਰੂਰੀ ਇਸ ਤਰ੍ਹਾਂ 'ਤੇ ਕੀ ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ/ਤਸਪੀਕਤਾ ਪਿੱਛੇ ਧਰਦੇ ਹਨ ਕਿ ਜੇਤੂ ਵਾਕਾ ਕੀਮਤ ਹੈ ਅਪਣੀ ਹਦਤ ਤੋਂ ਭਰਮਾਨੀਆਤਮਕ ਖਰਚੇ ਅਤੇ ਕਾਨੂੰਨੀਆਂ ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜ਼ਾਂ ਦੇ ਇਸ ਦੀ ਫਿਲਮ ਇਤੀ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹੀ ਖਰਚੇ ਦੇ ਪਾਸ ਤੇ ਜੇਤੂ ਵਾਕਾ ਕੀਮਤ ਹੈ ਅਪਣੀ ਹਦਤ ਤੋਂ (50 ਸਾਫ਼ / ਮੀਟ੍ਰ ਪ੍ਰਤ 2500 ਇਤੀ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹੀ ਤੁਹਾਨੂੰ ਅਪਣੀ ਹੁੰਦੇ ਖਰਚੇ ਅਤੇ ਕਾਨੂੰਨੀਆਂ ਜੇਤੂ ਵਾਕਾ ਕੀਮਤ ਹੈ ਇਤੀ ਨਿਸ਼ਾ ਤੇ ਉਸ ਦੀ ਫਿਲਮ ਇਤੀ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਪਿੱਛੇ ਧਰਦੇ। ਤਸਪੀਕਤਾ ਇਸ ਨਿਸ਼ਾਤ ਨਿਸ਼ਾ ਅਪਣੀ ਹਦਤ ਤੋਂ ਤੀ ਇਤੀ ਜਾਂਦੇ (ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜ਼ਾਂ) ਸੀਟਿਓਨ ਦੇ ਹਦਤ ਤੋਂ ਤੀ ਇਤੀ ਜਾਂਦੇ

6) ਕੀ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਡਾਕੂ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਜਾਂ ਖੁਦ ਆ ਕੇ ਲਈ ਹੈ।
(ਅਸਲ ਡਾਕੂ ਖਰਚ, ਫੀਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਸ਼ਾਮਲ ਕੀਤਾ ਜਾਵੇਗਾ)।

2. Respondent, Dr. Neelu Chug stated that information/reply sought by the appellant has already been supplied to the appellant and no any other information is pending in this case.
3. The appellant remained absent during the two hearings consecutively i.e. 18.10.2021 and 19.01.2022, with any prior intimation.
4. Accordingly, the Commission observes that he is satisfied with the information/response filed by the respondent-Public Information Officer so no further action is required to be taken in this case. Hence, the case is **disposed of and closed**. However, the liberty is granted to the appellant to approach the Commission within 10 days from the issue of this order in case of any further submissions.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 19.01.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.



Sh. Krishan Gopal, (9464077740)

S/o Sh. Sunil Kumar,
Street No 6, New Cantt Road, Ferozepur - 151203

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Director General School Education, Punjab,
Sector 61 Mohali.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Director General School Education, Punjab,
Sector 61 Mohali.

Appeal Case No.1213 of 2021

(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on : 17-09-2020

PIO replied on : -

First appeal filed on : 26-10-2020

First Appellate Authority order : -

Present: Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Sh. Jagdish Kumar (Sr. Asst.), 9988954040

ORDER:

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 18.10.2021.

Information Sought :

Information Sought

The total number of candidates who were working for Children With Special needs (CWSN) on contractual posts as IERT (inclusive education resource teacher) / Resource Teacher (Special Education) and IEV (inclusive education volunteers) in government schools, whose teaching experiences are counted/considered for the post of Head Teacher (H.T) and they are joined as Head Teacher permanent govt. posts under education deptt. in government schools after resignation.

The total number of candidates who were working for Children With Special needs (CWSN) on contractual posts as IERT (inclusive education resource teacher) / Resource Teacher (Special Education) and IEV (inclusive education volunteers) in government schools, whose teaching experiences are counted/considered for the post of Centre Head Teacher (C.H.T) and they are joined as Centre Head Teacher permanent govt. posts under education deptt. in government schools after resignation.

2. Respondent, Sh. Jagdish Kumar stated that information/reply sought by the appellant has already been supplied to the appellant dated 20.09.2021.
3. The appellant remained absent during the two hearings consecutively i.e. 18.10.2021 and 19.01.2022, with any prior intimation.
4. Accordingly, the Commission observes that he is satisfied with the information/response filed by the respondent-Public Information Officer so no further action is required to be taken in this case. Hence, the case is **disposed of and closed**. However, the liberty is granted to the appellant to approach the Commission within 10 days from the issue of this order in case of any further submissions.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 19.01.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.



Sh. D.R. Singla, (7081079234)
4961, Sector 38 West,
Chandigarh.

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Nagar Council, Kharar,
Distt. S.A.S Nagar(Mohali).

Public Information Officer
O/o Tehsildar, Kharar,
Distt. S.A.S Nagar(Mohali).

First Appellate Authority

O/o Deputy Director, Local Govt. Department,
Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 1726 of 2020
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

Present: Appellant: Sh. D.R. Singla (In person)
Respondent: Advocate Gulshan Mehta, 9876468637, Sh. Amti Kumar (DNB),
Sh. Rajneesh Sood (EO)

ORDER:

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 18.10.2021.

Information Sought :

- 1) ਖੇਵਟ/ਖਤੌਨੀ ਨੰਬਰ 291/298 ਖਸਰਾ ਨੰ: 10 ਅਤੇ ਖੇਵਟ/ਖਤੌਨੀ ਨੰਬਰ 292/299 ਖਸਰਾ ਨੰ: 3/11 ਹੱਦ ਬਸਤ 186 ਸੈਂਤੇ ਮਾਜਰਾ ਤਹਿਸੀਲ ਖਰੜ ਦੇ ਰਕਬੇ ਦੀ ਕਦੇ ਕਦੇ, ਕਿੰਨੀ ਕਿੰਨੀ ਜ਼ਮੀਨ ਦੀ, ਕਿਸ ਦਰ ਤੇ ਰਜਿਸਟਰੀ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ।
- 2) ਉਕਤ ਰਕਬੇ ਨੂੰ ਨਗਰ ਕੌਂਸਲ/ਗਮਾਡਾ/ਪੁੱਡਾ ਜਾਂ ਕਿਸੇ ਹੋਰ ਸਰਕਾਰੀ ਅਦਾਰੇ ਵਲੋਂ ਜ਼ਮੀਨ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਦੀ ਤਬਦੀਲੀ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਦਿੱਤੇ ਗਏ ਹੁਕਮਾਂ ਦੀਆਂ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ।
- 3) ਉਕਤ ਰਕਬਾ ਜ਼ਮੀਨ ਦੀ ਕਿਸ ਕਿਸਮ ਭਾਵ ਵਾਹੀ ਯੋਗ/ਉਦਯੋਗਿਕ/ਸ਼ਹਿਰੀ (ਰਿਹਾਇਸ਼ੀ ਜਾਂ ਵਿਉਪਾਰਕ) ਵਿੱਚ ਆਉਂਦੀ ਹੈ, ਭਿਸ਼ਮ ਤੋਂ ਜਾਣੂ ਕਰਵਾਇਆ ਜਾਵੇ।
- 4) ਕੀ ਸਰਕਾਰ ਵਲੋਂ ਅਜਿਹੀ ਜ਼ਮੀਨ ਤੇ ਪਲਾਟਾਂ ਦੀ ਰਜਿਸਟਰੀ ਕਰਨ ਲਈ ਮਨਜ਼ੂਰੀ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਹੈ ਜਾਂ ਮਨਾਹੀ ਹੈ।
- 5) ਜੇਕਰ ਉਕਤ ਜ਼ਮੀਨ ਵਾਹੀ ਯੋਗ ਹੈ ਤਾਂ ਜ਼ਮੀਨ ਮਾਲਕਾਂ ਵਲੋਂ ਕੱਟੇ ਗਏ ਪਲਾਟਾਂ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਕੀ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਕੀਤੀ ਹੈ।
6. ਸਮਾਂ: 24/11/21 SE 1/790-91 ਮਿਤੀ 24/10/2018 ਦੀ ਟ੍ਰਾਂਸਕ੍ਰਿਪਟ ਦੇ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਪਿਛਲੇ ਵੀਡੀਓ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਦੇ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ।

2. **Written submission from respondent: The relevant portion of the same is reproduced below:**

2. That in compliance to the order dated 04.10.2021 passed by this Hon'ble Bench the respondent no.1/PIO has filed the affidavit dated 11.10.2021 alongwith annexure 1 & 2 in this commission office vide letter no. 252 dated 12.10.2021 giving the factual position with details of reply sent by the Tehsildar to the appellant vide letter no. 95 dated 22.06.2021.

3. That the information available in record of MC Kharar has already been supplied to the appellant and no other information with respect to the RTI application dated 25.09.2019 of the appellant is available with the office of the MC Kharar.

Appeal CaseNo.1726 of 2020
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

4. That it is pertinent to mention here that the appellant has manipulated and tempered with the RTI application dated 25.09.2019 filed /annexed with the 2nd Appeal before this Commission and the appellant has added the point no. 6 with ink pen indicating that he has demanded the information on 1 to 6 points, whereas as matter of fact in the original RTI application dated 25.09.2019 which was initially submitted in the office of PIO, MC Kharar was having only 1 to 5 points and this application was duly typed, but in the 2nd appeal the appellant has modified his RTI application by adding additional point no. 6 with the pen by manipulating and tampering with the original RTI Application with dishonest and
3. Both the parties are present and heard. The commission transpired that as the information available with the respondent has already has been supplied to the appellant and the affidavit dated 11.10.2021 has been filled in the case with the compliance of previous order of the Commission.
- A strict warning is issued to the appellant for misleading and suppressing material facts by tempering the RTI application in the interest of justice.
- With these observations and remarks, appeal is dismissed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 19.01.2022

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.



Sh. Jasbir Singh, (9888296107)

Guru Nanak Nagar, Village Bolapur Jhabewal,
Post Office Ramgarh, District Ludhiana - 141123

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

.....Respondent

O/o Principal Secretary,
Medical Education and Research Department, Punjab, Chandigarh.

Public Information Officer

O/o PNRC, S.A.S Nagar,
Mohali.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Principal Secretary,
Medical Education and Research Department, Punjab, Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No.1161 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on	:	12-10-2020
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	16-11-2020
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Sh. Jasbir Singh

Respondent: Advocate Ranjit Singh Kalra (Council), 9888989890

ORDER (Third hearing):

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 19.10.2021 vide which the appellant was directed to file a rejoinder, if any, but he failed to do so.

Observation:

2. Council for respondent, Advocate Ranjit Singh Kalra retiring the content of his reply filled in the commission via email dated 18.10.2021 stated that he has already replied to the information sought by the appellant and no any other information is pending in the office record. On this appellant Sh. Jasbir Singh only alleged that the respondent has not provided the complete and correct information with the delay of 12 month. Hence, he has requested the Commission to impose penalty upon the PIO.

Decision:

3. After hearing both the parties and going through the information/reply supplied by the respondent PIO, as the appellant has not made any submissions regarding the deficiencies, the Commission finds that the RTI application has been suitably replied and the information has been supplied to the best extent. Moreover, the factual position has been brought to the notice of the appellant by the respondent for further query, if any.

Appeal Case No.1161 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

Therefore, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is disposed & closed.

4. With regard to the prayer of the appellant for imposing penalty upon the PIO, the Commission does not find any malafide intention on the part of the PIO in providing the information to the appellant and hence the question of imposition of any penalty does not arise.

Chandigarh
Dated: 19.01.2022

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.